

## **Bio retention Materials**

Wisconsin Mound Sand



## Macka's Wisconsin Mound Sand

## Suitable for positioning on the top of the mound on Estuarine Clay.

Wisconsin Mound Sand was analysed for its physical properties and compared against the Specification for 'Standard Design No.1: Wisconisin Mound on Estuarine Clay'. The purpose of the testing was to determine its suitability as a sand fill media layer in a transpiration bed. This layer will be positioned on top of estuarine clay.

Below includes the sand particle size, hydraulic conductivity, D values, and other performance factors and properties.

Particle Size Distribution – Seive Data								
3.35	Medium gravel		96.5	3.5				
2.00	Fine gravel		85.9		10.6			
1.00	Very coarse sand		72.1		13.8			
0.50	Coarse sand		62.4		9.7			
0.05			447		47 7			
0.25	Medium sand		14.7		47.7			
0.15	Fine sand		2.8		11.9			
0.053	Very fine sand		1.8		0.9			
				1				
0.002	Silt		9		2.7			
				]				
<0.002	Clay		0%		-1			

Landscape, Horticulture, Sport and Recreation Mackas Sand and Soil Page | 1

SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (Ksat)																
Result (mm/hr)	Comment			Ksat							663					
663	Acce	ptable, accel	erated range	mm/h r	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000	
OTHER PROPERTIES																
Property		Result	Comm	nent		Property				Result		Comment				
Particle Density	(g/cm3):	2.64	No requir	rement		Weathering Stability: by Sodium Sulphate Soundness			y:			Not determined			d	
Bulk Density (g/	cm <sup>3</sup> ):	1.64	No requir	rement	-				ness							
Organic Matter (	(%w/v):	-	Did no	t test	Mechanical Stability: by LA Abrasion Test		Not determined									
<b>pH in H<sub>2</sub>O</b> (1:5):		7.1	Neutr	al	Particle Shape: Shape not tested, sphericity not tested.											
<b>pH in CaCl</b> <sub>2</sub> (1:5)	):	6.4	Slight a	cidity								t a fallow				
<b>EC</b> (dS/m) (1:5):		<0.02	Very lo	W		general principles apply. Generally materials that are suitable for Greens construction								ction		
Liming Value (%	CaCO3):					Cover the angular to sub-rounded group. I heoretically sphericity will have an impact little is known or certainly written about the impact of particle sphericity on turf grow							of but			

PERFORMANCE FACTORS	D VALUES		
<b>BRIDGING FACTOR:</b> A Drainage Gravel compatible with this material will have a $D_{15}$ of $\leq$ <b>15.50</b>		D <sub>95</sub> :	3.16
		D <sub>90</sub> :	2.52
PERMEABILITY FACTOR: A Drainage Gravel compatible with this material will have a D <sub>15</sub> of ≥		D <sub>85</sub> :	1.94
1.26		D <sub>60</sub> :	0.49
GRADATION INDEX: D <sub>90</sub> /D <sub>10</sub> : 11		D <sub>50</sub> :	0.44
		<b>D</b> 15:	0.25
COEFFICIENT OF UNIFORMITY: D <sub>60</sub> /D <sub>10</sub> : 2.31 Generally acceptable		D10:	0.21
		D5:	0.17

NB: The information provided in this product guide should be used as a guide only. For further details or for design and application support, please contact us.

P: +61 2 4982 6227 | E: sales@mackassand.com.au | www.mackassand.com.au